

# Norman Campus Research Council Faculty Investment Program Proposal Review Form

Applicant/Project Title: \_\_\_\_\_ Date of Review: \_\_\_\_\_

**Proposal Checklist:**

Signatures \_\_\_\_\_ Course Release letters (if required) \_\_\_\_\_ Current/prior Council support \_\_\_\_\_  
 Narrative \_\_\_\_\_ Budget explanation/itemization \_\_\_\_\_ CV \_\_\_\_\_ Special Docs \_\_\_\_\_

**Review Instructions:** In reviewing this proposal, you agree to abide by the confidentiality rules of the Research Council. For each review criterion, provide a numeric score from 1 to 5 using the descriptor scale of 1 (Highly Meritorious), 2 (Meritorious), 3 (Needs Minor Revision), 4 Needs Major Revisions) and 5 (Not Competitive).

Please also provide comments that specifically address the strengths and weaknesses related to each criterion, and to the proposal, overall. This is especially important for new proposals, as faculty can resubmit declined proposals and will be provided with the narrative from the Overall Comments section.

Criterion	Score	Comments (strengths and weaknesses)
A. Intellectual merit		
B. Technical or creative approach and originality		
C. Impact on the field of scholarship (potential for success and originality)		
D. Potential for impact on the PI's scholarly program		
E. Qualifications and, if appropriate, collaborative history of the investigator(s)		
F. Appropriateness of budget and justification of resources requested		
TOTAL SCORE (sum total of A-F)		
<b>Overall Comments:</b> Summarize the overall strengths and weaknesses (whether major or minor) of the proposal. <u>These comments will be shared with the applicant.</u>		

**Reviewer Recommendation:** Indicate your recommendation to fund, maybe fund, or not fund the proposal.

Fund     
  Maybe Fund     
  Do Not Fund

Reviewer Name: \_\_\_\_\_